Introduction

Cervical cancer is a global health problem for women. Despite the availability of vaccination and screening, it remains the fourth most common cancer in women. Approximately 85% of cases occur in developing countries (1). The age distribution of this type of cancer shows three peaks at ages 35, 50, and 70 (2), which is one of the main causes of death from cancer (3).

The stage of cervical cancer is the most important factor in its prognosis. The of the uterine cervix cancer has traditionally been clinically staged although surgical and radiologic evaluations are now part of the assigning stage (4-6). Surgical and radiologic staging provide important information that can impact treatment (7). However, the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) has demonstrated that clinical staging is preferable for several reasons. It is more accessible for low-resource settings, in which cervical cancer remains the most common malignancy among women. Likewise, it may be better for assessing locally advanced disease (i.e., tumor size, vaginal and parametrial involvement) and it avoids surgery in women who are not candidates for surgical treatment (8). In the FIGO classification system for cervical cancer staging, stage IB is divided into IB1 and IB2 subgroups based on the tumor size, which has been recognized as an important prognostic factor in poor outcomes for stage IB cervical cancer, correlating with the tumor recurrence rate and decreasing survival (9). Other important factors in the prognosis of cervical cancer include parametrial involvement, lymphovascular space invasion, and pelvic lymph nodal status (9).

Surgery is the standard treatment for stage IA-IB1 cervical cancer (1). However, early surgery is not recommended in women with locally advanced cervical cancer (IB2-IVA) because recovery is not frequently achieved and the need for adjuvant therapy would be the next alternative in this regard. Chemoradiation is the main treatment in patients with stage IB2-IIIB and its profits will be higher for them. Radiotherapy and chemoradiation can cause long-term treatment-related complications and serious problems for patients, especially young patients with sexual activity. Because most patients are young and life expectancy...
is high in this age group, and the quality of life is an important issue for them in addition to survival (9).

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT), followed by radical hysterectomy is a completely different treatment which seems to have some special advantages over chemoradiation, including micrometastasis elimination, lower toxicity, easier patient management, tumor size reduction, and decreases in the risk of positive lymph nodes and the need for radiotherapy. It also increases the possibility of surgery and makes the tumor more sensitive to subsequent radiation if necessary (1,9).

Given the above-mentioned explanation, this study evaluated the safety and morbidity of radical hysterectomy in women with LACC after platinum-based NACT.

Materials and Methods

After obtaining the approval of the Institutional Review Board, the current retrospective comparative observational study was performed at the Gynecologic Department of Al-Zahra hospital of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. All patients undergoing radical hysterectomy between March 2014 and November 2018 were eligible for inclusion in this study.

The inclusion criteria included patients with cervical cancer (squamous cell carcinoma [SCC], adenocarcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, clear cell carcinoma, and the like) based on pathologic reports, IB-IIB stage based on FIGO staging system, no other malignancy in patients, a full record of required information in the file, and a willingness to participate in the study.

On the other hand, the exclusion criteria were patients not being in the IB-IIB stage according to the FIGO staging system, lack of required information in the patient’s records, cervical cancer along with other cancers, and an unwillingness to participate in the study.

All patients underwent examination under general anesthesia, staging based on the FIGO staging system, cystoscopy, and chest, along with abdominal and pelvic computed tomography prior to radical hysterectomy. Of the 31 patients enrolled in the study, 13 cases received NACT, and their mean age and median age were 39.9 and 41, respectively. Eight patients were at stage IIB and 5 of them were at stage IB2. Eighteen patients had radical hysterectomy initially, and their mean age and median age were 52.6 and 47, respectively. Thirteen cases were at stage IIB while two and three other cases were at stages IB2 and IIA, respectively.

In the NACT group, patients were given 3-6 cycles of cisplatin 50 mg/m² and taxol 175 mg/m² every 3 weeks, and the liver and kidney test was requested before each injection complete blood count. For patients with an interval of 2-6 weeks after the last chemotherapy, radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection was performed.

Charts were abstracted, and the analyzed data included intra-operative complication, final histology diagnosis, post-operative complications, and the need for adjuvant treatment.

The amount of blood lost during the surgery, hemoglobin (Hb) and hematocrit levels before and after the surgery, and the duration of surgery were recorded in patient records.

Wound infection is defined as purulent discharge from the wound, redness, warmth, and tenderness of the stumps. In addition, wound dehiscence is defined as the opening of surgical sutures with serous discharge, and urinary system damage is defined as penetrating trauma to this structure in the surgery.

The operating time was defined from the beginning of skin incision to the completion of skin closure. The estimated blood loss (EBL) was calculated by considering the difference in the total amounts of suctioned and irrigation fluids and counting the number of bloody and long gauzes.

After the surgery and based on the final pathology of radical hysterectomy, some patients received adjuvant treatment, which included radiotherapy, chemoradiation, and/or chemotherapy.

Patients were followed by pelvic exams every three months to two years and then every six months to three years and then annually.

Shapiro-Wilk test was used to evaluate the normal distribution of the data of the collected variables. Moreover, frequency and percentage, as well as mean and standard deviation were applied for categorical and continuous variables, respectively.

Categorical variables among the groups (NACT vs. non-NACT) were compared by using a χ²-based proportion test as implemented in the Fisher’s exact test. A two-tailed t test (when the normality and homogeneity of variance assumptions were met) or the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables.

In all statistical tests, a confidence interval (CI) of 95% and P < 0.05 were considered as significant differences. Eventually, the statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS program, version 26.0.

Results

Patients’ Characteristics

Thirteen patients with LACC undergoing laparotomy and radical hysterectomy after NACT were compared with 18 patients with early stage cervical cancer who underwent laparotomy and radical hysterectomy. FIGO staging was different between the two groups. In the NACT group, 8 (61.5) and 5 (38.4) patients were in stages IIB and IB2,
respectively, and 13 (72.2) cases were in the IB1 stage in the non-NACT group. The mean Hb before the operation was 11.79 g/dL and 13.53 g/dL in the NACT and non-NACT groups, respectively (Table 1).

**Intraoperative Characteristics**

Intra-operative characteristics are provided in Table 2. The mean operating time and EBL were similar in both groups. Intra-operative complications were recorded as well. Three (23%) patients in the NACT group and 3 (16.6%) patients in the non-NACT group had intra-operative blood transfusion thus the rate of post-operative Hb loss was not compared in the two groups. One patient in the non-NACT group had a ureteral injury, which was diagnosed during the operation and ureteroneocystostomy was performed accordingly.

**Pathology Data**

In the NACT group, 10 (76.9%) and 2 (15.3%) patients were SCC and adenocarcinoma, respectively, and 1 (%7.6) patient was clear cell carcinoma. In the non-NACT group, 15 (83.3%) and 3 (16.6%) patients were SCC and adenocarcinoma, respectively. Table 3 presents final pathologic characteristics (i.e., positive pelvic lymph node, positive margin, and lymphatic vascular space invasion), indicating that there was no significant difference between the two groups.

**Table 1. Baseline Patients’ Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NACT (n=13)</th>
<th>Non-NACT (n=18)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous, Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>39.9 (10.06)</td>
<td>49.7 (9.09)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dL)</td>
<td>11.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>13.53 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Intra-operative Patients’ Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NACT (n=13)</th>
<th>Non-NACT (n=18)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous, Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (min)</td>
<td>323 (123.5)</td>
<td>333.3 (171.4)</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss (cc)</td>
<td>263.5 (36.8)</td>
<td>281.1 (56.2)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Pathologic Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NACT (n=13)</th>
<th>Non-NACT (n=18)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous, Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, median (range)</td>
<td>33 (12-43)</td>
<td>31 (14-69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Post-operative Complications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NACT (n=13)</th>
<th>Non-NACT (n=18)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous, Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative blood transfusion</td>
<td>5 (38.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection/dehiscence</td>
<td>3 (23)</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC/Reoperation</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Postoperative Complication**

Data on postoperative complications are indicated in Table 4. Blood transfusion in the NACT group was significantly higher compared to the non-NACT group [5 (38.4%) patients versus 0, P=0.008]. Based on the results, 3 (25%) and 2 (8.1%) patients in the NACT and non-NACT groups had infection/dehiscence at the site of surgery, respectively, although the difference was not statistically significant. One (7.6%) patient in the NACT group needed re-laparotomy the next day due to a drop in Hb and anuria. Although no active bleeding was observed during the operation, there was a massive hemoperitoneum. The patient died 10 days after the first laparotomy with disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and end-organ damage.

**Adjuvant Therapy**

Table 5 presents post-operative adjuvant therapy. In the NACT group, 4 (33.3) and 3 (25%) patients received chemoradiation and chemotherapy, respectively, one (8.3%) patient received radiation while 4 (33.3%) patients, all of whom were stage IIB, required no adjuvant therapy. In the non-NACT group, 11 (61.1%) patients underwent chemoradiation and 1 (5.5%) patient received chemotherapy. Based on the findings, 6 (33.3%) patients required no additional treatment. However, the differences between the two groups were not statistically significant and the final pathology of 5 (38.4%) patients in the NACT group was no residual tumor (Figures 1 and 2).

**Follow-up**

The median follow-up in non-NACT and NACT patients...
was 31 (14-69) and 33 (12-43) months, respectively. In addition, 1 (8.3%) patient in the NACT group and 3 (16.6%) patients in the non-NACT group had a recurrence, which was not statistically significant. Finally, 2 (15.3%) patients of the NACT group and 2 (11.1%) other cases of non-NACT died, one of the patients in this group had died of a cause other than cervical cancer.

Discussion
This study compared radical hysterectomy in women with LACC receiving NACT with radical hysterectomy in women with early cervical cancer receiving NACT. The results showed that radical hysterectomy after NACT is safe. Post-operative blood transfusion was significantly higher in the NACT group compared to the non-NACT group, which was probably due to lower preoperative Hb in the NACT group. Operative time, EBL, intra-operative complications, and other post-operative complications were similar in both groups.

Despite the fact that chemoradiation has been recommended for women with LACC by the US-NCI since 1999 (10), NACT followed by radical surgery is a common practice in several countries outside the United States (11).

In a review article published in 2018, NACT followed by radical hysterectomy had no significant advantage compared with radical hysterectomy alone. More than 50% of operated patients required subsequent RT, which had significant morbidity and increased treatment cost without any significant benefit (1).

In another study, 151 patients with bulky IB cervical cancer entered the study, all received NACT and then underwent a radical hysterectomy. Post-operative chemotherapy was given for all patients regardless of the final pathology and 26.7% of them required adjuvant radiotherapy (9).

However, in our study, 4 (33.3%) patients required chemoradiation and one (8.6%) patient received radiotherapy.

In a study performed on 91 patients with stage IB2 cervical cancer, all cases received one of the following treatment modalities of chemoradiation, radical hysterectomy with or without adjuvant treatment, and NACT, followed by radical surgery with or without adjuvant. The 5-year overall survival in the NACT group with radical surgery, radical hysterectomy with or without adjuvant therapy, and chemoradiation were 94.1, 93.8, and 80.1% although no significant differences were found in this regard (12).

In the study by Robova et al, all patients received NACT and then underwent radical hysterectomy. In other words, 18.3% of patients had positive pelvic lymph nodes and 17.2% of them experienced a relapse (9). In our study, one (8.6%) patient from the NACT group had a recurrence and 3 (23%) patients had positive pelvic lymph nodes.

In a study on 40 patients with stage IB-IIB cervical cancer, all cases underwent a laparoscopic radical hysterectomy after NACT, median operation time was 305 minutes (215-430), and median EBL was 250 mL (100-400). Moreover, 10% of patients required blood transfusion after surgery, the median of the follow-up was 37 (10-69) months, and 3 (7.5%) patients represented a relapse (13).

Likewise, robotic radical hysterectomy following NACT was compared with robotic radical hysterectomy alone in similar research. In other words, intra- and post-operative complications, patients’ final pathology, EBL, duration of surgery, and length of hospital stay in both groups were compared in their study. Only operative time was significantly shorter in the non-NACT group while other parameters were not significantly different in the two groups.

Table 5. Adjuvant Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NACT (n=13)</th>
<th>Non-NACT (n=18)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemoradiation</td>
<td>4 (33.3)</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>3 (23.1)</td>
<td>1 (5.5)</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients without any adjuvant therapy</td>
<td>4 (33.3)</td>
<td>6 (33.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. NACT: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy.
groups (14).

In two other studies, robotic radical hysterectomy with NACT was compared with robotic radical hysterectomy, and intra- and post-operative complications were [(0 vs. 0), (3 vs. 5%)] and [(12 vs. 7%), (13 vs. 10%)], respectively. Patients’ final pathology, EBL, duration of surgery [median: (260 vs. 260 minutes), (209 vs. 215 minutes)] and the length of hospital stay [median: (4 vs. 4 days)] in both groups were not significantly different (15,16).

Based on the report by Minig et al, the median follow-up was 31 months, 83% of patients were not relapsed, and 90% of them were alive (14). In our study, the median of follow-up was 26 months in the NACT group. More precisely, 91.7% of patients were free of recurrence and 84.6% of them were alive.

Our study compared two groups with different stages of the disease and different treatment strategies. As a result, post-operative blood transfusion was significantly higher in the NACT group, which was probably due to the lower Hb of these patients at the beginning of the operation. Nevertheless, there was no significant difference in EBL, duration of operation, complications during surgery, and other post-operative complications. These findings are similar to those of recent studies.

However, our study has some limitations, the most important of which are retrospective design, a small number of patients, and differences in stage and treatment strategies in the two included groups. Accordingly, NACT followed by radical hysterectomy in women with LACC is safe and reduces the need for radiotherapy. These results need to be confirmed in studies with a larger patient sample.
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